



## SAKARYA GAS FIELD DEVELOPMENT PROJECT - ESIA

CONTRACT NO: SC26-PRJ-PU-CNT-00179

### ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (ESIA)

*Chapter 7.4 Decommissioning*

COMPANY Doc. No. SC26-OTC-PRJ-EN-REP-000031



CONTRACTOR Doc. No. 21497091

Rev. N°	Date	Issue Type	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by	COMPANY Acceptance Code
01	28/09/2022	Issued for Approval	WSP Golder	Yazgi Akın	Project Management	
00	08/09/2022	Issued for review	WSP Golder	Yazgi Akın	Project Management	
					Classification:	Internal

REVISION TRACKING TABLE		
Rev. N°	Modification Description	Modified Page No.
00	Initial draft	N/A
01	Issued for approval	N/A

### Information Classification

Code	Description of Information Classification
PUBLIC	Information and data that may be shared without restrictions because it is unlikely to result in any harm if disclosed to outside parties. Permission to actually release information to the public must be obtained separately through External Affairs
INTERNAL	Information and data that may be freely shared with staff in Engineer, Client and Partners, and contracted companies and individuals bounded by confidentiality agreements.
RESTRICTED	Only 5-10% of document content with such information classification can be released by Document Owner (or with his delegation) to identified recipients.
CONFIDENTIAL	Information that is extremely sensitive, of the highest value to the company and individuals and intended for use by named individual(s) only.

## Table of Contents

7.4 Decommissioning ..... 3

## 7.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION

### 7.4 Decommissioning

It is foreseen that the Project will remain in operation for 25-40 years. The operating period depends on natural gas production in the Sakarya gas field and may extend following new explorations. Phase 2, which involves addition of 30 more wells is in planning stage.

As of the date of this ESIA, it is not possible to predict what technology will be available in 25-40 years, moreover it is likely that all the infrastructures or part of these, once in disuse, will be abandoned on site or destined for new use.

Because of the uncertainty concerning this phase of the Project (for both decommissioning strategy and technological approach), this chapter is limited to some general considerations on the impacts of decommissioning. In particular, below is reported a brief overview of the approaches to decommissioning of pipelines (SURF), subsea production system (SPS) and onshore processing facility (OPF) recommended in the guidelines of the main relevant international conventions/organizations and an overview on the approaches in some countries that own oil and gas offshore fields. Some general considerations are also reported on the typical potential impacts that could be associated with pipeline decommissioning; finally, a few recommendations on the basis of best practices and international guidelines are provided.

#### **Guidelines and Approach for the Offshore Pipeline Decommissioning**

Turkey is member state of the **International Maritime Organization (IMO)** and it is also member of the IMO Council for the 2022-2023 biennium. IMO establishes the minimum standards to be applied in the phase of decommissioning of offshore installations. IMO standards do not make a specific distinction between non-linear and linear installations (pipeline). According to the IMO, the State responsible for the decision may derogate from complete removal if:

- a new use for the structure is possible;
- the removal may cause unjustifiable interference with other uses of the sea;
- the removal may result in unsustainable costs or risks for personnel or for the marine environment.

**OSPAR** Convention is the tool which concerns 15 countries of the eastern Atlantic coast, and the European Union. It concerns the cooperation for the protection of the marine environment. The OSPAR Convention does not concern Turkey and the Black Sea, however it can be taken as an example of international best practices. With regard to linear structures (such as pipelines), the need to evaluate the removal is highlighted in the OSPAR, if it can be achieved avoiding significant negative effects on the marine environment. The in situ abandonment of pipelines, especially if large and / or buried, is generally the best solution in the OSPAR area (Ekins et al., 2006).

The **International Financial Corporation (IFC)** has published a series of documents with guidelines for the development of all phases of offshore mining activities (IFC, 2014), including decommissioning. The IFC guidelines specify that also for pipelines, like non-linear installations, a detailed Decommissioning Plan must be prepared, which analyzes the different options available. According to the IFC regulations, the decommissioning plan must present the details on the implementation of the decommissioning and the development of a monitoring and maintenance plan for the decommissioned structures.

Title:	Chapter 7.4 Decommissioning	Classification:	Internal
DocID:	SC26-OTC-PRJ-EN-REP-000031	Page:	3 of 8
Rev. :	01		

Most European countries practice abandoning pipelines once their use is over. In the **United Kingdom**, the decision on the best decommissioning option should be made after having evaluated the characteristics of the site. The main indications are the following:

- The possibility of reusing pipelines for other activities should be considered;
- Any removal, even if partial, must avoid negative impacts on the environment;
- It is necessary to take into account any other uses of the area.

In general, in the **UK**, the pipeline that have the following characteristics are suitable for abandonment in situ:

- Adequately buried and/or entrenched;
- Not buried but it is expected that they remain in stable conditions or that they tend to be buried over time;
- Subject to exceptional and/or unpredictable conditions due for example to structural damage or severe deterioration that prevent them from being recovered safely and without damage to the environment.

In **Norway**, as a general rule, the offshore pipelines can be left in place when they do not create obstacles or safety risks.

In summary, we can therefore state that although most of the international guidelines and standards do not apply directly to the offshore pipelines, it is a consolidated practice to evaluate the situation "case by case" according to some main guidelines:

- For pipelines buried under the seabed, especially if they are medium and large in size, a remediation process is generally carried out - for example by cleaning and making them safe from any risks (e.g. chemical contamination or danger to navigation) - and they are then abandoned in situ;
- For the pipelines that emerge from the seabed, the possible options of removal (partial or total), of sinking (natural or forced) in the sediment, or the possible need for a covering intervention or mechanical protection are taken into consideration.

In particular, most conventions and national laws allow abandonment on site if this ensures a lower environmental impact than removal and does not pose a risk to other uses of the sea.

### **Potential impacts**

Project components associated with **onshore decommissioning activities** are the onshore section of the pipeline, OPF, energy transmission line (if required), permanent access roads.

If the production reserve runs out, production feasibility is lost, or the service life of the facility ends, the Onshore Processing Facility will need to be removed to enable subsequent land uses. Once the onshore facility is shut down, all the units will be removed from the site and the rehabilitation operations will commence. If needed, the site grading will be completed, taking into account the surface drainage during operations. The ground surface will be covered according to appropriate vegetation selection (compatible with the soil, climate and flora of the region) after the rehabilitation operations are completed.

In this case, the following impact factors are expected:

- Emission of dust and particulate matter

Title:	<i>Chapter 7.4 Decommissioning</i>	Classification:	Internal
DocID:	SC26-OTC-PRJ-EN-REP-000031	Page:	4 of 8
Rev. :	01		

- Emission of gaseous pollutants and/or greenhouse gases
- Emission of aerial noise and vibrations
- Removal of soil
- Demand for workforce
- Demand for goods, materials and services
- Increase and modification of traffic onshore
- Emission of light
- Demand for freshwater
- Minor leakage of contaminants into water
- Demand for waste disposal/recycling services

Project components associated with offshore decommissioning activities are pipeline (SURF) and subsea production system (SPS).

In case a part or all the pipeline and SPS will be removed process will mostly involve activities similar in nature to those required for construction of the Pipeline; seabed intervention works, lifting pipeline and SPS components from the seabed, recycling and disposal; logistics support offshore and onshore.

In this case, the following main impact factors are expected:

- Handling and resuspension of sediments
- Emission of underwater noise
- Presence of working and moving vessels
- Emission of gaseous pollutants and/or greenhouse gases
- Emission of light
- Minor leakage of contaminants into water
- Possible introduction of alien species
- Demand for waste disposal/recycling services
- Demand for workforce
- Demand for goods, materials and services
- Increase and modification of traffic offshore and onshore

In case pipes and SPS components are left on the seabed, process will involve filling, cleaning the pipeline with water, sealing at it ends, monitoring surveys following completion of works.

In this case, the following main impact factors are expected:

- Emission of underwater noise
- Presence of working and moving vessels
- Emission of gaseous pollutants and/or greenhouse gases
- Minor leakage of contaminants into water
- Demand for workforce
- Demand for goods, materials and services
- Increase and modification of traffic onshore and onshore.

### **Recommendations**

On the base of the abovementioned guidelines, best practices and potential impacts, a Decommissioning Plan for each component will be prepared, according to the technology available, legislation and GIIP at the time, in consultation with the relevant regulatory authorities.

The Plan should define the decommissioning strategy. The following items should be considered in the Plans:

#### **Onshore Processing Facility Decommissioning Plan**

- The OPF will be dismantled
- Components will be evaluated for re-use, recycling or disposal.
- The onshore reinstatement plan will be prepared to restore the area as much as possible to its original condition.
- Any impacts on soil and groundwater conditions from the OPF will be investigated and required remedial actions will be undertaken.
- Consult with stakeholders (landowners, government authorities, and other directly affected parties), and secure regulatory approvals.

#### **Offshore SPS and SURF decommissioning plan**

- Take into account potential new roles/use of the pipeline;
- Consider other uses of the sea in the pipeline area;
- Consult with stakeholders (fisheries, government authorities, and other directly affected parties), and secure regulatory approvals;
- Indicate the procedures for making pipelines safe (for example cleaning and mitigation of the risks associated with chemical contamination);
- According to the main national conventions/guidelines and national legislation assess the opportunity and impact of the abandonment in situ of the pipeline or part of it;
- Determine post-abandonment responsibilities;
- Include monitoring and maintenance plan for the decommissioned structures.

- Other components will be evaluated for removal and transportation to be dismantled / recycled onshore.